Ocean mesoscale eddies, air-sea interactions and regional climate: regional coupled climate modeling

## Hyodae Seo Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution



APEC Climate Center, Busan, Korea September 13, 2013



27 Jul 1999

#### TMI Sea Surface Temperature



QuikSCAT Wind Stress Curl with SST Overlaid



#### QuikSCAT Wind Stress Divergence with SST Overlaid





Global SST from AMSR-E on June 1, 2003 http://aqua.nasa.gov/highlight.php

## Air-sea interactions on different oceanic scales



### Kushnir et al. 2002

Oceanic mesoscale: eddies

Correlation in wind speed and SST



Spatially high-passed wind, SST Positive correlation (Warm SST → Stronger wind)

Xie et al. 2004

#### Mechanisms for positive correlation between SST and wind speed

20N

15N

10N

5N

EQ

**5**S

10S ·



Hashizume et al. 2002

Destabilized ABL over warm SST  $\rightarrow$  Downward momentum mixing  $\rightarrow$  Accelerating surface winds (Wallace et al. 1998)



TIWs trigger mesoscale response in the atmospheric boundary layer.

Limited information from satellite and in situ data makes fuller understanding of dynamics of fine-scale interactions difficult.

→ Need a coupled model with improved representation of oceanic eddies AND their influence on the atmosphere.

## Scripps Coupled Ocean-Atmosphere Regional (SCOAR) Model



 Study mesoscale ocean-atmosphere interactions and largescale climate.

- An input-output-based coupler and sequential coupling.
- Great portability and applicability

Seo, Miller and Roads, J. Climate 2007

## Overview of my talk

- Regional Coupled Model
- I.Dynamics of coherent variations in the atmosphere to SST (1)TIWs in the equatorial Pacific and Atlantic(2) Sea ice in the Arctic Ocean
- 2. Role of ocean dynamics in shaping SST warming in a changing climate in the equatorial Atlantic
- Summary and discussion

Mesoscale Air-Sea Interactions over tropical instability waves

#### How do these wind responses feedback to ocean mesoscale variability?



① Direct influence from SST: SST  $\rightarrow \tau'$ 

2 Modification of wind stress curl/div

 $\nabla_{d}$ SST  $\rightarrow \nabla \cdot \tau'$ 

 $\nabla_{c}SST \rightarrow \nabla \times \tau'$ 

Feedback to TIWs through 1

$$\vec{U} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{K}_{e} + \vec{u}' \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{K}_{e} = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{u}'p') - g\rho'w' + \rho_{o}(-\vec{u}' \cdot (\vec{u}' \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{U})) + \rho_{o}A_{h}\vec{u}' \cdot \nabla^{2}\vec{u}' + \rho_{o}\vec{u}' \cdot (A_{v}\vec{u}_{z}') + \vec{u}_{sfc}' \cdot \vec{\tau}_{z}'$$

Anomalies in current and wind stress are opposite in direction, meaning wind response damping the ocean!



- Wind and current are negatively correlated.
- Wind-current coupling → energy sink

# 2 Modification of wind stress curl by SST gradients:



Is this eddy-mediated Ekman pumping important for ocean circulation? Yes!

 $w_{\mathsf{EK}} = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\rho_{w} f} \nabla \times \mathbf{\tau}$ 

10 9 8 Mean Wek 7 Anomaly <sup>></sup>ercentage of OBS Wek' 6 3 2 1 0 L -3 0 -2 -1 Ekman pumping velocity, meter day-1

•Eddy-induced Ekman pumping vertical velocity exhibit a comparable dynamic range to that by mean Ekman pumping.

• This  $W_{EK}$ ' is **additional** wind stress curl forcing of the ocean.

•This effect will influence the mean state through low-frequency rectification.

PDF of  $W_{EK}$  by mean and perturbation

A similar story is applied to the ABL fields in the Arctic over sea ice: Separation of spatial scale of wind response

## Polar WRF simulation

- Polar WRF: Hines and Bromwich (2008)
  - WRF optimized for polar regions
  - Modified surface layer model for improved surface energy balance

- Experiments
- November 2008 October 2009
  - Sea ice forcing:
  - NT: NASA Team Algorithm
  - BT: NASA Bootstrap Algorithm





#### Pan-Arctic response pattern

Focusing on NT - BT in September 2009

Large change in ABL compared to the mean values

	East Siberian Sea	Mean	Difference
	Т2	-5 °C	+5 °C
	PBLH	450 m	100 m
	TCWP	60 gm <sup>-2</sup>	10 gm <sup>-2</sup>
• /	SIC uncertainty is a decisive factor for		
	hindcast skill!		
<ul> <li>SIC difference and ABL sensitivity on the comparable basin-scales</li> </ul>			

Arctic-basin averaged vertical profiles difference (NT-BT)



- ABL stability adjustment to SST: Wallace et al., (1989).
- Less SIC → Higher PBL
- The basin-wide increase in air temperatures below PBL.

#### Arctic-basin averaged vertical profiles difference (NT-BT)



- ABL stability adjustment to SST: Wallace et al., (1989).
- Less SIC → Higher PBL
- The basin-wide increase in air temperatures below PBL.
- Increased cloud water path near the top of PBL.

Arctic-basin averaged vertical profiles difference (NT-BT)



Hashizume et al. (2002)

Contrasting responses in two near-surface wind fields: WI0 and Wg ( $\approx \nabla$ SLP)



- Stronger W10 with reduced SIC
  - Most dramatic changes in the interior Arctic
  - •>10% change of the mean.

Wg response is more pronounced on the smaller scale than WI0

-0.5

5

0

-5



• A simple marine boundary layer model <sup>0.2</sup> of Lindzen and Nigam (1987):

-0.2 • Assuming steady flow, no advection, -0.4 linear friction.

$$\rho_o\left(\nabla \cdot \vec{u}\right) = -\left(\nabla^2 P\right) \varepsilon / \left(\varepsilon^2 + f^2\right)$$

- 0.5 • Div. /Conv. of surface wind is linearly proportional to SIC-induced Laplacian 0 of SLP
  - $\nabla^2$  would be effective in highlighting small-scale response,
    - e.g., along the sea ice margins.

What is the role of ocean dynamics in shaping regional SST pattern in a warming climate? The Equatorial Atlantic Ocean..

- IPCC-class models have large biases in simulation of the equatorial climate
  - Especially in the Atlantic.
  - A reversed east-west gradient.
  - Underestimation of equatorial currents, upwelling and TIWs.



## Model and experiments





- CTL: RSM (NCEP2 6hrly) + ROMS (SODA monthly)
- 25 km ROMS + 50 km RSM
- 28-yr. integration: 1980-2007
- CO2=348 PPM
- δ=GFDL CM2.1 monthly difference: (2045-2050:A1B)-(1996-2000: 20C); 10member ensemble mean
- **GW**: RSM (NCEP2 6-hrly+ $\delta$ ) + ROMS (SODA monthly+ $\delta$ )
- CO2=521.75 PPM

pseudo-global warming method in a regional coupled model (Seo and Xie 2011)

## Change in annual mean state (GW-CTL)



- Different equatorial ocean response:
- Reduced warming (more upwelling) in the equator.

1.1

0.9

0.7

0.5

0.3

0.1

10E

10E

- Cross-equatorial southerly wind is stronger on equator.
- -0.1-0.3 • Similar large-scale -0.5 atmospheric response -0.7- Increased (decreased) -0.9 rainfall in the tropical -1.1 northeast (south) Atlantic.

Response of ocean to the cross-equatorial southerly wind?

- I. Reduced warming on the equator?
- 2. Change in equatorial currents?

I. Reduced warming in the cold tongue is due to the increased upwelling.



2. Stronger upwelling associated with stronger Equatorial Undercurrent



• Weak EUC and weak upwelling in CM2.1.

- Strong EUC and strong upwelling in SCOAR.
- Stronger currents have an important implication for the dynamic instability.

30°W-10°W, 1998-2007

## Enhanced current shears lead to dynamic instability and TIWs.



Cross-equatorial southerly wind → Currents ↑ and w\* ↑ → Dynamic instability ↑

• Philander and Delecluse (1983), Yu et al., 1997

The equatorial ocean is more dynamicall unstable.

30°W-10°W, 1998-2007

### Eddy temperature advection is intensified



- <u>GW-CTL</u>: All components of eddy temperature advection strengthen.
- TIW-heat flux significantly compensates for cooling due to enhanced upwelling.

Summary and discussion

I. Ocean fronts and eddies cause coherent perturbations in the atmosphere

- Ubiquitous features observed throughout the World Oceans
- Limited understanding on the feedback to larger-scale climate system
- Process-modeling using regional coupled model helps alleviate the problem in GCMs
- 2. TIWs impact the mean state through eddy heat flux.
- Need an accurate representation of ocean dynamical processes.
- Improved parameterizations based on information from regional coupled model.

Regional modeling as a critical way to obtain a glimpse into what improvements we can expect and what deficiencies may remain in the current and next generation climate model experiments.

## Thanks! hseo@whoi.edu